

SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEMS



Monica-Mihaela Tudor¹, Camelia Gavrilescu¹, Julie Urquhart³, Valentin-Mihai Bohateret^{1,2}, Ioan-Sebastian Bruma^{1,2}, Krisztina-Melinda Dobay^{1,2}, Daniela Matei^{1,2}, Lucian Tanasa^{1,2}

¹Institute of Agricultural Economics, Romanian Academy, Romania; ²Social and Economic Institute "Gh. Zane", Iasi Branch of the Romanian Academy; ³Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK





The research question:

To what extent and how learning contribute to resilience capacities?









Content

- I. Background
 - o Learning in the adaptive capacity cycle
- II. Aim
- III. Methodological approach
- IV. Farming system in Nord-Est region an overview -
- V. Results
 - o Risk and challenges
 - Triggers of change on small-mixed farming system
 - o Most important influencers on farm decision-making
 - Learning processes and strategies
 - VI. Conclusions







I. Background









I.1. Learning in the adaptive capacity cycle



Figure 1 The role of learning in the adaptive cycle (from de Kraker 2017)

- Single-loop learning: In the 'front loop' of cycle, *learning is associated* with incremental innovation towards further growth.
- Double-loop learning: The 'back loop' involves more radical innovation in adaptation to crises in the system.
- Triple-loop learning: When innovations developed in the 'back loop' lead to a transformation in activity or thinking.



II. Aim

To understand the role that learning plays throughout the adaptive capacity cycle in SMALL-MIXED FARMS in Nord-Est region (Romania)

Objectives:

- \circ identify which factors enable or hinder adaptive capacity;
- o identify the networks of influence on farmer decision-making;
- $\circ\;$ identify what strategies promote learning.







III. Methodological approach

Qualitative approach - exploring farmers' experiences and approaches to risk management through farmers' 'stories'

Collecting data : Semi-structured interviews

Target: 14 farmers that had different characteristics (age, gender, longevity in farming activities) and personal learning experiences (involvement/ or not in knowledge network or learning platforms)

□ Interview protocol

- $\checkmark\,$ risk and challenges
- $\checkmark\,$ triggers of change attitudes and beliefs
 - external (to the farm) factors influence decision-making
- $\checkmark\,$ influencers on farm decision-making
- $\checkmark\,$ learning processes and strategies







IV. Farming system in Nord-Est region – an overview -



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520



8



SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEMS

V. RESULTS





5.1. Key risks in "*small-mixed farms*" form NE region, as identified by farmers

- external risks & challenges -

- economic risks:
 - low prices,
 - high costs,
 - non-integration of small farms in the food chains
- extreme weather events and climate change affecting the traditional crops in their vegetation period in the region 2/3 of respondents
- pests and diseases ½ of respondents
- ✓ availability of labour (skilled) 2/3 of respondents
- bureaucracy rigid bureaucratic institutions tributary to rules and not to operation efficiency hinder farmers' chances to take advantage of some opportunities (for instance, for organic re-certification procedure)







5.1. Key risks in "*small-mixed farms*" form NE region, as identified by farmers

- internal risks & challenges -

- risk of being too small affecting the chances of small farms to be integrated into the agri-food chains as they do not have the production and negotiating capacity enabling them to enter and stay on the agri-food market dominated by the large companies – ½ of farmers
- Iack of cooperation among farmers, especially due to the *negative* perception of cooperation (distrust among cooperative members), makes the negative effects of low farm size perpetuate over time
- uncertainty about successor affects the continuity of the business 1/3 of farmers
- Iack of experience and knowledge adversely impacts the farm performance 1/3 of farmers







5.2. Triggers of change on "*small-mixed farms*" form NE region - Romania

- attitudes and beliefs as change drivers
 - **Openness for novelty 50%**
 - Farmers' willingness to be independent, through the development of a business on their own using the position rent they own (high agricultural potential, uncovered market niches, access to land) 50%
 - Risk-taking attitude 36%
 - Selfishness / reluctance of other (farmers) in sharing info 30%
 - *Mistrust* (in the evolution of economic environment) 20%
 - Attachment to the traditional way of doing agriculture in the area 20%







5.2. Triggers of change on "*small-mixed farms*" form NE region - Romania

- external (to the farm) factors influence decision-making
 - Change their socio-economic status (transforming the subsistence or semisubsistence farm into a market-oriented business and / or acquiring the businessman status) - 70%
 - Having an off-farm job 60 %
 - o Access/availability of labour at the region level, especially skilled labour-40%
 - Access to technology 20%







5.3. Most important influencers on farm decision-making



5.4. Learning processes and strategies

Typical learning trajectory for a small-mixed farm in NE region







5.4. Learning processes and strategies

innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520 Ability to seek out information

and to **choose the proper and reliable channels** to find out the necessary knowledge for the implementation of changes at farm level



16

VI. Conclusions

The three most important factors identified as enabling farmers' learning across the adaptive capacity cycle in NE region

- their *willingness to change the socio-economic status* (determined by their need to become economically independent and have an activity aligned with their self-identity and values)
- their ability to seek out new ideas and information on how to implement these ideas at farm level (especially young farmers who may have different views to their parents and are willing to experiment with new technologies)
- having an alternative income source (such as an off-farm job) provided farmers with the financial resources for experimenting, implementing new ideas, and investing in them without depriving the farm's current activity







VI. Conclusions

PROACTIVE LEARNERS

- Risk takers
- Open to new ideas
- Seek out new information
- Early adopters
- Experiment
- Reflexive & flexible
- Able to convert knowledge into action

YOUNGER FARMERS BUT it is struggling with the older generation

REACTIVE LEARNERS

- Risk averse
- Deal with consequences as they occur
- Rely on tried and tested methods
- Prefer to let others experiment first
- Reluctant to change
- Focus centred on their specific farm situation

OLDER FARMERS BUT not all of them



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520







Coordinated by:

SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEMS

Thank you for your attention!

Partners:



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN







UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH



Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies

Instituut voor Landbouwen Visserijonderzoek

INRA













This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520



POLITÉCNICA