

SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEMS

A dynamic perspective to farming system resilience and its trade-offs

Dr. Hugo Herrera & Prof. Birgit Kopainsky Bucharest, September 2019





Objective:

To explore potential benefits of adopting a dynamic perspective in the resilience management process.







Resilience management

Resilience management is the active modification of a system with the explicit aim to improve its capacity to absorb and adapt to change

Aims of resilience management are:

- a) to prevent a system from transitioning into undesirable configurations in the face of external shocks and
- b) to cultivate the conditions that facilitate system adaptability following a massive change.









A dynamic perspective

In simple terms, a dynamic perspective focuses on:

- a) aggregated relations among systems' components,
- b) systems' outcomes as indicators of their performance and
- c) control variables as drivers of systems' behaviour



ET1 and ET2 represent ecological threshold points (modified from Scheffer and Carpenter 2003)





A dynamic perspective

To overcome these challenges, we propose to use a dynamic perspective to operationalise systems' resilience **through the behaviour of their outcomes** and their response to shocks (Bruijn et al., 2017; Walker et al., 2004). Whereas each outcome is likely to exhibit its own particular response, for simplicity, these responses can be clustered into three big groups:

- a) stability and robustness
- b) adapting capacity,
- c) transformation





A conceptual model





A conceptual model











A conceptual model













Exploring the impact of climate change

Outcome functions	Proxy variable
i) Delivery of healthy and affordable food products	Beef production
ii) Economic viability	Price per carcass paid to producer

For analysis purposes we considered that changes in weather conditions and an increase in pests could broadly manifest in two ways:

- a) shocks in weather conditions that will temporarily reduce crop yield
- b) and an increase in weather variably that leads to fluctuations in crop yield

For our analysis we considered two shocks, a moderate shock reducing crop yield by 20% and an extreme shock reducing crop yield by 50%. For simulating weather variability we assumed random variations between 10% and -50% on crop yield constantly for the simulated time horizon.





Reaction to a moderate shock

Beef Production in Thousand of Tons Beef Production in Thousand of Tons **Model Results** per year per year 4,500 1,800 Lie 1,600 Lie 1,400 Lie 1,200 Lie 1,000 Lie 800 Lie 80 4,000 3,500 UD 3,000 10 2,500 2,000 1,500 1,000 500 0 0 500,500,501,500,502,500,502,500,502,500 2.005 "01, "01," 00, "02, "03, "02, "04, "04," 020 Reaction to an extreme shock Beef Production in Thousand of Tons per Beef Production in Thousand of Tons year per year 4,500 1,800 4,000 1,600 Thousand of Tons per year 1,400 3,500 Euros per Ton 1,200 3,000 1,000 2,500 800 2,000 600 1,500 400 1,000 200 500 0 0 2,005 2,005 2012 202 202 2030 2010 2015 202 205 200 205 2040 2045 205 2.035 2.040 010 045,050 Simulated Past behaviour Historical Behaviour Projection Business as Usual Projection Weather shock in 2024



Reaction to a moderate shock

Crop Production Crop Price 25,000 600 Thousand of Tons per year 500 20,000 Price in €/ton 300 500 15,000 10,000 5,000 100 0 0 2,005 J'00, J'01, J'01, J'03, J'02, J'03, J'04, J'04, J'02, Reaction to an extreme shock **Crop Price Crop Production** 600 25,000 20,000 15,000 10,000 5,000 500 Price in €/ton 300 500 100 0 0 2,005 2,005 201020152022052002052042045200 J'010 J'012 J'010 J'022 J'020 J'022 J'040 J'042 J'020



This Project has received funds form the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

Model Results



Model Results-Weather variability





This Project has received funds form the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520



Model Results-Weather variability







Both reduction of yields and increase dependency on crops result on higher costs than otherwise for livestock producers, however, they have opposite effects for crop producers who could see a reduction in their throughput but an increase in their price. The long term response of crop farmers is then governed by the elasticity of the markets and heavily influenced by market openness to foreign crops and logistic constraints.



Simulated Past behaviour - - Projection Business as Usual •••••• Projection Weather shock in 2024





An alternative to ease the financial drain on farmers and shareholders is ensuring farms have access to credit during the periods climate change is inflicting a higher pain in their accounts. Access to credit, long term debt and the costs of borrowing can be included in the model by adding additional structure like the one illustrated in the figure.

















Conclusions

First, by adopting a dynamic perspective it is possible to aggregate complex system processes into their main dynamics and foster understanding about the underlying mechanisms driving system behaviour.

Second, the simplicity and transparency of the models used also ease the analysis and discussion of potential points for intervention and strategies that can enhance resilience.

Third, having a dynamic model helps to understand trade-offs between different types of resilience resulting from different strategies. Model analyses reveal, for example, trade-offs between resilience to climate change and resilience to fluctuations in commodity markets. The results also highlight the presence of conflicting objectives between crop farming and livestock farming.









This Project has received funds form the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

